

Comparing manual processing to invoice automation

These days, if you want to encourage growth across the business, you have to make a choice about your accounts payable processes: Are you and your team going to work harder or smarter?

What does your vendor invoice process look like?

In a manual environment, paper, faxes, e-mails, and ERPs are the basic building blocks. By automating, you can create a single system to capture and route invoices digitally from approval to payment, regardless of format.

OR

Harder

Smarter





86% of invoices are still received as paper or e-mail.1



Small and midsize businesses take **14.3** days on average to process an invoice.1



Automation helps finance employees reduce invoice processing time by 16%.



average time to pay vendors by 51%.5

Automation improves the



Lots of information-stitching and dot-connecting. This is doable for AP superstars, but it's not a scalable solution for employee and business growth.



No bottlenecks. No manual matching. No error-prone 10-key entry. The number of workflow steps are reduced, and AP teams have more time to focus on strategic work.

Do you have visibility into cash flow?

With manual process, you struggle with uncaptured, disorganized, outdated data and disparate cash management insights and decisions. Automation offers real-time data for early-payment discounts (available/captured), on-time payments, and category spend.

Harder

OR

Smarter





22% of all businesses can only forecast their midterm cash flow with 5% accuracy.1



prior to automation.² Only 9% of companies have

60% of firms indicate that

tracking spend was a challenge

access to real-time data for financial analysis and planning.3



firms **\$28,000** per year and retail businesses **\$33,000** per year.² More accurate data saves

Improved tracking saves finance

\$39,000 per year.² Better analytics saves tech firms **\$35,000** per year.²

professional services firms



accuracy to effective growth investments.

The lack of insight creates a

business - from forecasting

ripple effect across the entire



cash management decisions.

Data insights provide greater

ability and assurance to make

Working manually results in a reactive AP environment, spending over budget, rogue spending, and paying unapproved, unfulfilled, duplicate, or potentially fraudulent vendors. Automation provides proactive AP environment that can identify anomalies.

Is your AP process giving you control over spend and workflow?

optimize payment methods, and audit processing records. Harder **Smarter** OR



6 per month. **2.4%** of disbursements contain errors when using a low level of automation.4

Businesses experience a

duplication rate, or about

29% invoice



Costly inefficient process with the risk of noncompliance (tax

industry) and the potential for

unexpected spend.



1.7% of disbursements contain errors when using a high level of automation.4

Automation delivers an

average **29.2%**

reduction in invoice

processing costs.4



Ability to plan ahead, see the unseen to mitigate risks, set clear expectations, cut costs and grow.



automation, "I get to actually be an accountant now."

And the winner is: Working Smarter

 not just time and money savings, but the processes, visibility, and control you need to keep your AP staff fulfilled and your business growing.

Automation means good things for your team and great things for your business

As one SAP Concur customer said, after her company had implemented invoice

Interested in learning more? Check out the guide How to Choose an Automated AP Invoice Solution.

 $@2018\ SAP\ SE$ or an SAP affiliate company. All rights reserved.

 ${}^2\textit{Elevate Business Performance and Better Manage Spend with Automation, AMI-Partners study, 2018}$ ³ Financial Planning & Analysis Benchmarking Survey: FP&A, a Function in Transition, Association for Financial Professionals, 2016 ⁴The True Costs of Paper-Based Invoice Processing and Disbursements, AP&P2P Network sponsored by SAP Concur, 2016 ⁵ The Business Value of Concur Invoice, IDC white paper, 2017